



Si habla español u otro idioma, utilice la traducción debajo de la imagen.  
 Nos disculpamos, todos los videos están en inglés, pero estamos trabajando para traducirlos al español.

[Back to main website home page](#)

[Flat Earth Home](#)

[Our 2 cents on all of this](#)

[What Scripture say about cosmology.](#)

[Book of Enoch in English, Spanish and most other languages](#)

[FE videos are here](#)

[Many great FE quotes here](#)

[Government FE documents](#)

Like Be the first of your friends to like this.

Select Language ▼

Powered by Google Translate

[Save in PDF for further study.](#)



[To page menu](#)

### **A few thoughts of our own**

For several years, we have been hearing too much parroting arguments for a flat earth. People simply repeating those they hold in high esteem, sadly without doing their own research on any given topic. I believe one of the worst one involves photographs of the horizon where many flat earthier's insist a go Pro camera is used with a fish eye lens is used to create a rounded horizon. The fact of the matter is, a camera lens of any focal length can create either a flat surface or a rounded surface depending on where the surface is located within the context of the lens.

I have had in my mind and presented to my wife in mid-2015, the model of cosmology I have laid out in this page below. Due to our work in Ecuador, I have not been able to present it, yet hoping it may be presented by others as it is so obvious to observe, then show a model based on the observations. This material has not yet, to the best of my knowledge been presented. This is sad because as stated above, a few “leaders” in the FE community has a thought, and most others only follow rather than scrutinizing a given concept, most being satisfied to run with it and accept what one or two has presented.

#### **Allow me to make a point most would not . .**

There is nothing within the context of this site that “proves” a flat earth. To prove something requires verifiable facts. These are difficult to obtain on a household budget. But, they DO exist.

What we desire is to present concepts that match observational sightings. If those who bump their gums actually got outside more and worked with others, much more might roll into real facts we can use for this cause.

The usual test for a statement of fact is verifiability — that is whether it can be demonstrated to correspond

diameter of earth in miles




All Images News Maps Videos More Settings Tools

About 22,800,000 results (0.65 seconds)

Earth / Diameter

7,917.5 mi

Divided by 2,  
Just say 4,000 miles  
Believe me, it will make no difference!



So, if this is the case,  
could one please explain the following . . .

Ever heard of the terms,  
Perihelion (closest) & Aphelion (most distant)

Perihelion is the distance the earth is "*closest to the sun*"  
Aphelion is the distance the earth is "*furthest from he sun*"

<https://i2.wp.com/spaceplace.nasa.gov/seasons/en/aphelion-perihelion-lrg.png?w=1024>

Aphelion (farthest from Sun)  
Around July 5 2020





to experience. Standard reference works are often used to check facts. Scientific facts are verified by repeatable careful observation or measurement by experiments or other means.

You can be sure, within the context of this page, we present verifiable, observational facts that can demonstrate what we have presented. They are repeatable observations and anyone can make the same observations night after night. After the observations, one only needs to put the pieces together.

- To achieve verifiable proof/evidence, one does not need to be a “*scientist*”.
- Verifiable proof exists in the observation in the fact that birds of a specific species, fly.
- Verifiable facts exist within the flavor of salt, if the salt is within the limits of the definition of salt.
- A verifiable fact is the fact the majority of the day sky is blue.
  - The fact that there are locations without blue sky, does not refute the fact the sky is blue.

[To page menu](#)

What is needed is good reliable testing and observations on many levels.

Here is a short video demonstrating “Flat.”

These we need . . . This short video presents this nicely.

Viewing Lights On A Frozen Lake, P900, 8 miles Preview



[To page menu](#)


**I will just place this here for a bit . .**

Many scientists use the term, “**Goldilock zone**”. Have you heard of it?

The Goldilocks zone is the perfect distance the earth is supposed to be from the sun in a heliocentric model to sustain life on earth . So what happens if earth ventures beyond this zone, or the zone is decreased?

Take a look at the following illustration, I believe it refutes the Goldilocks zone theory.





per NASA

> 3 MILLION, 100 Thousand miles <  
 difference is quite interesting seeing  
 NASA says (also) we have a 4,000 mile  
 window to survive in.  
 You remember, the ice at the pole,  
 and the heat closest to the sun.  
 HmMMM

This means we are a full 3,096,000 miles  
outside the SAFE ZONE  
 That is 774 times further outside, or inside  
 the "life zone" we need to survive.

Bottom line is this. If we only have a 4,000 mile radii window to  
 exist on this earth per the illustration above, what NASA refers to as  
 the "*Goldilocks zone*", how is it we can change orbit by an  
 additional 3,100,000 miles from the sun, increasing the Goldilocks  
 zone of 4,000 mile radii, 774 times, and yet survive?

We have a 3 million 1 hundred k mile elliptical zone,  
 NASA says this elliptical zone is acceptable.

NASA also claims the frozen matter at the North and South Pole,  
 as well as the elevated temperatures on the surface nearest the sun,  
 is earths outer most limits to support life,  
 that is earths radius of 3,963 miles, from ice, to heat nearer the sun.

So, if the ice at the poles, and heat nearest the sun exist within a  
4000 mile distance, how do they explain a 3,100,000 mile variance  
 of the elliptical zone, an acceptable distance to support life?

**Information below validates the argument above.**

Use scroll bars on right of each image below

Below according to US Gov, not the beliefs of this site

**What Causes the Seasons?**  
<https://spaceplace.nasa.gov/seasons/en/>

Not  
 Perihelion and Aphelion,  
 but you knew that.

**The Short Answer:**

Earth's tilted axis causes the seasons. Throughout the  
 year, different parts of Earth receive the Sun's most direct  
 rays. So, when the North Pole tilts toward the Sun, it's

<https://exoplanets.nasa.gov/faq/15/what-is-the-habitable-zone-or-goldilocks-zone/>

### FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

## What is the habitable zone or “Goldilocks zone”?

The habitable zone is the area around a star where it

<https://www.quora.com/Why-is-the-distance-from-Earth-to-the-Sun-important-for-life-on-Earth>



**Dave G. Howell**, former Officer in Charge, Tofino Life Boat Stn. (Retired) at Canadian Coast Guard (1966-2003)

Answered September 10, 2018



Originally Answered: Why is the distance of the Sun from the Earth important for all the living beings?

The exact distance is not really important at all. It is important that our orbit lie within a certain zone known as the **Goldilocks zone**. This insures that we will be in an area that allows for liquid water. But take our distance from the sun. It varies by 4 million miles. This variance has nothing to do with our seasonal changes it is because our orbit is elliptical.

[To page menu](#)

### **The southern stars are not a problem, let's review what is observable**

- All stars are observed traversing East to West, in parallel lines as they traverse over a stationary surface, regardless of one's location on earth.
- all stars rotate around a **single axis**, as the image below indicates,
- around two opposing, opposite points,
- Similar to the ellipsoid image below,
- Stars at the poles are observed/photographed moving considerably slower than stars at the equator,
  - this due to the circumference of the heavens is considerably larger at the equator, than at each axis.
- Just as if all stars are **embedded** in a single common sphere/ellipsoid.
- Just as Scripture states

[To page menu](#)


**These are the observable facts we have to work with.**

**This appears to be a solution for what we DO observe.**



**These are the observable facts we have to work with.**

**This appears to be a solution for what we DO observe.**



Approximately mid 2015 while we were working in Ecuador, I presented the concept below to my wife. For that period of time we have worked with the locals in these areas on a personal level so I have not had the time to do web or video work. Just as the seasons change, the time is now available to get back to my passions in life in the format of web and video. It is of my opinion this is exactly what the heavens present visually.

Both the Northern and Southern points are observed on the **same central axis**, each on opposite ends of the **same axis** as the **stars rotate over this earth**. This is an observational fact similar to this image.

1. North is a central location referred to as the North Pole, Polaris, Ursa Major/Minor
2. The South Pole stars, Southern Crux and other outer groupings.  
the revolving ellipsoid affect reveals specific star groupings within the heavens, based on the seasons of the year. The non-visible stars are absent, not due to the side of the sun earth is on, but because they are what we believe under the earth, awaiting their return to the visible heavens.

This is observable, and very easy to follow.

- *You're facing south, stars rise from your left and set to your right.*
- *You're facing north, stars rise from your right and set to your left.*

[To page menu](#)

**This is the popular model of the flat Earth.  
We believe it to be mostly incorrect.**

**So, you think there is an issue with the motion of the southern stars?  
The way this model is presented, many problems exist with all stars . . .**

**And the sun and moon as well.**

**You can rest assured,  
The ignored problem with the NORTHERN stars in this model,  
is an obvious bigger problem than the Southern stars!!**

Those who portray this model have developed multiple theories as to how the southern stars might be visible in the heavens. Sadly, most have never considering an alternate configuration for the observed motions of the heavens. For something that is so set before us, the lack of observation is astounding.



We consider this model to be incorrect as it is not conducive with basic observations of the Northern and Southern polar axis of the heavens.

In fact, there are a few major problems with this model we will demonstrate below.

[To page menu](#)

## **A couple of ignored concerns with this model**

**The land mass**, where in Scripture is this specific land mass configuration described? The UN has a similar model hanging on their wall, so what, we know a deceptive word would never come from the UN, Right?!?!?

### **Stars are a huge problem**

According to this FE model, if one were to stand at what is considered the North Pole, 1, 2 or 3000 miles from the North Pole, looking overhead this person would observe the rotational axis of ALL stars that are considered to rotate around the North Pole, including all the stars all the way down to the horizon.


The totality of the stars in the heavens do **not** reveal themselves every night, this model would force all seasons of ALL stars of the heavens of the northern region, to be visible every single night. This is a huge problem. Why? Because all the stars of all the seasons are NOT visible every night. This fact alone should cause all FE'r's to drop this theory like a hot potato!

### **Where/how is the (lack of an) axis for the Southern stars going to play into this?**

Regardless of how one might attempt to illustrate/conceptualize the Southern stars using this model, we


believe to be incorrect.

No one anywhere on earth, not those in the northern regions, will ever observe the moon rotating in a circular pattern around the North pole at any time of year, regardless of your location on this earth, at the equator or in the far reaches of North because this does not take place.



Yet, this is exactly what most flat earthers depict who portray the sun and moon in their model.

Everyone on earth only sees the moon moving from east to west general direction, never in a circular pattern around the North Pole, and certainly nothing like this wild illustration to the left! Please do not even go there!



### **In the Stellarium images below,**

the green horizontal line represents the ground you are standing on.

Most outer stars away from the axis in the heavens disappears below the horizon for a period of approximately 6 months, every year, as illustrated in the images below. This circular FE model illustrated above, has the Northern star in the center of the earth. This configuration can not provide an area for app 50% of all stars to disappear for 6 months a year. All central stars would be visible all year around.

In the flat Earth model image above, the Northern most stars will rotate around its axis at the lowest speed This is correct and observable.

The equatorial stars should traverse overhead at the greatest speed due to the largest circumference for the stars to travel This so far is correct and observable.

But, here is where it all ends. In the flat Earth model above this would not/can not be the case. It would be the Southern stars circumnavigating the extremities of a flat earth beyond an ice wall that would be required to traverse at an extreme rate in order to achieve a revolution each day.

Thankfully we have the observations, and thousands of photographs that refutes this as it is the Southern stars rotate around a single axis, similar to the mirrored Northern axis the world witnesses every night.


So if someone tells you it is the daylight that blocks out the other half of the seasonal stars (we cannot see), and that is why we see the stars we see at night, please remind them by asking them to “*show you the axis/rotation of the southern stars.*”

**Remember which way the stars are rotating around the North Pole?**


In these images, one is looking North.




#1 Begin here  
2020 Sept 01




#2 2020 Dec 01  
3 months later




#3 2021 March 01  
6 months later




#4 2021 June 01  
9 months later



#5 2021 Sept 01  
12 months/1 year later



Any model, without a horizon, all stars would be visible, as well as no place to stand.



Did you notice? Basically 50% of all stars are under the horizon all the time. The beginning stars return to the “exact” same position after 1 year. It is the stars of the heavens that tells when a year begins.

I use the word “**exact**” cautiously. There are those who will claim billions of years ago due to the precession of the stars, they never return to the exact position.

*They*, say this is the case because structures *they* believe were built long ago were once aligned with specific stars in the heavens, and now no longer are. I think *they*, say a lot of things. Many believe what *they* say, many have probably become one of *they*, whom I personally do not take stock in.

A video in the near future will demonstrate which stars are visible in the overhead heaven to begin a scriptural year.

[To page menu](#)

**All stars rise in the East and set in the West,**

**The southern axis MUST be accounted for!**

**These are the parameters we must work with.**

We must begin with what is observable, then build a working model accordingly.

All observable conditions must be acknowledged. There is a danger in haphazard desires over observational facts.

The image below presents the motions of the heavens with 2 axis. One in the Northern region of earth, the other in the Southern.



Star Trails over Arches National Park  
<https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap140317.html>

[To page menu](#)

**We would suggest a model more like below,**

where it includes what Scripture refers to as the “*three heavens*” to include the sun and the moon, each in their own designated “*Heaven*”.

We as a common man and common woman do not have the benefit of exploring the heavens.


- We do have Scripture which is very revealing in its description of the cosmology of the heavens.
- We in no way believe in a heliocentric model.
- We do not believe in a geocentric model with the earth in the center and planets circling the earth millions, and even light years away from the earth.
- For those who believe in a stationary geocentric earth where the sun revolves around a stationary earth. Have you really ever taken a moment to calculate a sun that is supposedly 93 million miles away, calculate the circumference of its orbit, to realize the speed required to orbit the earth in a 24-hour period!?!?!?

I would not even go there, it is flat-out laughable.

- We believe in a stationary/non-rotating earth with the abundance of stars, sun and moon contained within the firmament as described in Scripture.

For decades the US military had been lighting off nuclear warheads high in the heavens to further understand what contained this earth. We are of the understanding man did discovered this firmament and in their diabolical plot to gain dominance over other countries began to scheme a plan they called the “*Space race*”, continuing to this day under the guise of indoctrination further their plan to remove the

Creator of the heavens and earth from the minds of man.



### Thermosphere 33 to 233 km high

#### Communication

A unique property of the ionosphere is that it can refract shortwave radio waves, enabling communication over great distances by “bouncing” signals off this ionized atmospheric layer. *nasa.gov*

#### Low-angle skywaves


The ionosphere is a region of the upper atmosphere, from about 80 km to 1000 km in altitude, where neutral air is ionized by solar photons and cosmic rays. When high-frequency signals enter the ionosphere at a low angle they are bent back towards the earth by the ionized layer. If the

peak ionization is strong enough for the chosen frequency, a wave will exit the bottom of the layer earthwards – as if obliquely reflected from a mirror. Earth's surface (ground or water) then reflects the descending wave back up again towards the ionosphere.

[wikipedia.org/wiki/Skywave](http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Skywave)

If there is one thing the Satanic minds cannot allow, that is for an eternal Creator to occupy any parts of our belief systems, while all the time they continue to lie/indoctrinate the precious souls of this world into believing in their theory of a Big Bang where each of us came from a primordial pool of slurry billions of years ago, all originating from nothing more than a spec smaller than the size of an atom.

Now is the time to get out with friends and family across the face of this intelligently created earth and explore the heavens, coming together and calculating observations to further understand what our Heavenly Father has given us in this platform we call home.



This model we present in the image above may, it may not be the entire, or any part of the solution to the southern stars. One thing we do know for sure, it conforms to the observations we observe daily.

- It has all stars traveling from East to West.
- It accounts for an observable southern axis.



- It accounts for an observable northern axis.
- If one were to compare it to the book of Enoch, the shape of the earth itself would accommodate the gates this book speaks of.

Many more pieces of the puzzle are required before we fully understand the entire picture.

One thing we know for sure, the lifetime of all the lies under false government controlled indoctrination over ones entire life will take some digging to reach the depths of truth.

[To page menu](#)

## Does Scripture speak of 3 heavens?

Scripture speak of “*heaven*” as both singular and plural.

Yes, we believe there are 3 Heavens. Scripture references to the word: “heaven” as singular 551 times, as well as 127 references to the word “heavens”, Plural.

What might be the function/purpose of each?

### 1. The First Atmospheric Heaven

- Genesis 6:7 - I will destroy humanity whom I have created from the face of the earth, both humans and beasts, creeping thing and birds of heaven.
- James 5:18- And he (Elijah) prayed again, and the heaven gave rain, and the earth produced fruit.

### 2. The Second Celestial Heaven

- Deuteronomy 4:19 - And take heed, lest you lift up your eyes to heaven, and when you see the sun, the moon, and the stars, all the host of heaven . . . (This is assumed to be the 2<sup>nd</sup> heaven)
- Deuteronomy 10:14 - Indeed heaven and the highest heavens belong to Yahuah your Creator, also the earth with all that is in it.
- Psalms 148:4 - Praise Him, you heaven of heavens and you waters above the heavens!

### 3. Third Heaven As The Home Of the Father

- 1 Kings 8:27 - But will indeed He dwell on the earth? Behold, heaven and the heaven of heavens cannot contain You.

We believe the Father dwells **above** all the heavens, not within the 3<sup>rd</sup> heaven.

We believe the motions of each heaven, are independent of the other heavens motions.

Deuteronomy 10:14 - Psalms 115:16 - 1 Kings 8:27

[To page menu](#)